Response to Office Action of September 30, 2010

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

1. (Currently Amended) A method of arranging image data representing a motion picture sequence within a memory sub-system in an image data processing system, the method comprising using dedicated hardware and/or a processor to dynamically selecting the arrangement of image data for successive pictures of said sequence in said memory sub-system according to at least one of: measured characteristics of said image data, measured characteristics of the performance of said processing system, and known characteristics of subsequent processing of said image data within said image processing system;

wherein the measured characteristics of said image data includes at least one of:

variability of motion vectors encoded within received data,

picture type, and

image resolution;

wherein the measured characteristics of the performance of said processing system includes at least one of:

data cache stall rates for a cache memory in the memory sub-system,

processor utilization,

quality of service or other qualitative measurements that are perceptible to an end

user of content being processed, and

bandwidth of a link feeding data into or out of said image processing system;

wherein the known characteristics of subsequent processing of said image data includes

at least one of:

encoded data size per picture of the sequence, and

advance information relating to the content of the image stream contained in a

data file.

Page 3 of 19

Response to Office Action of September 30, 2010

2. (Previously Presented) A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein said memory subsystem includes an image data storage memory constructed from paged memory.

3. (Previously Presented) A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein said memory subsystem includes a processor cache memory in addition to a main image data storage memory.

4. (Currently Amended) A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the step of selecting the arrangement of image data in storage memory comprises selecting between a linear format, whereby image data is stored in <u>the memory sub-system</u> on a line-by-line basis, and at least one kind of tiled format, whereby two-dimensional groups of pixels are grouped in <u>the memory sub-system</u>.

5. (Currently Amended) A method as claimed in claim 3 4 wherein where the memory sub-system includes cache memory, said tiled format is defined such that data for one tile corresponds to a whole number of cache blocks.

Claim 6: Cancelled.

7. (Currently Amended) A method as claimed in claim 6 1, wherein the method looks ahead in the motion picture sequence so as to measure said characteristics of the image data for a given portion of the sequence and selects the memory arrangement prior to processing that portion.

8. (Currently Amended) A method as claimed in claim 6 1, wherein measured characteristics of the image data at one part of the sequence are used effectively to predict characteristics of a subsequent portion of the sequence, and the memory arrangement controlled according to measured characteristics of recently processed portions of the sequence.

Page 4 of 19

Response to Office Action of September 30, 2010

9. (Currently Amended) A method as claimed in claim 6 1 wherein the method comprising averaging over a period of time the measurement of image data characteristics—is

averaged over a period of time.

10. (Currently Amended) A method as claimed in claim 6 45, wherein where the

variability of motion vectors is measured separately between vertical and horizontal planes, each

having a different effect in the selection of the storage arrangement.

Claims 11-12: Cancelled.

13. (Currently Amended) A method as claimed in claim 12 1, wherein the method

includes the system performance measurement, and wherein the system performance is measured

on a test basis using a sample of data, prior to processing the data.

14. (Currently Amended) A method as claimed claim 11, wherein the method

includes the system performance measurement, and wherein the system performance measured

while processing a first part of the sequence is used in selecting the arrangement of memory for a

subsequent part of the sequence.

15. (Currently Amended) A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the method

comprises using knowledge of subsequent processing steps to influence the selection of the

arrangement of data in the memory sub-system.

16. (original) A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the selection of memory

arrangement is implemented at least partly by changing parameters used by memory-accessing

program code.

Page 5 of 19

Response to Office Action of September 30, 2010

17. (Currently Amended) A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the selection of memory arrangement is implemented at least partly <u>based upon a selection of by selecting</u> different versions of code to be executed.

18. (Currently Amended) A method of as claimed in claim 1, wherein processing

image data representing a motion picture sequence within a memory sub_system in an image data

processing system, the memory sub-system including includes processor cache memory in

addition to main image data storage memory, and wherein the selecting is performed the method

comprising selectively using cache-handling functions under program control, according to at

least one of: measured characteristics of said image data and measured characteristics of the

performance of said processing system.

19. (Currently Amended) A method as claimed in claim 18, wherein a block

allocation function, whereby a new cache-block is allocated and overwritten without pre-loading

it the new cache-block from the main memory, is used selectively according to said measured

characteristics.

20. (original) A method as claimed in claim 18, wherein, in addition, cache pre-

fetching is activated selectively in accordance with the measured characteristics.

Claim 21: Cancelled.

22. (Currently Amended) An image data processing system, the processing system

including a memory sub-system and means for dynamically selecting the arrangement of image

data for successive frames of a motion picture sequence within said memory sub-system

according to at least one of: measured characteristics of said image data, measured characteristics

Page 6 of 19

Response to Office Action of September 30, 2010

of the performance of said processing system, and known characteristics of subsequent processing of said image data within said image processing system:

wherein the measured characteristics of said image data includes at least one of:

variability of motion vectors encoded within received data,

picture type, and

image resolution;

wherein the measured characteristics of the performance of said processing system includes at least one of:

data cache stall rates in the memory sub-system,

processor utilization,

quality of service or other qualitative measurements that are perceptible to an end user of content being processed, and

bandwidth of a link feeding data into or out of said image processing system;
wherein the known characteristics of subsequent processing of said image data includes
at least one of:

encoded data size per picture of the sequence, and
advance information relating to the content of the image stream contained in a
data file.

- 23. (Previously Presented) A system as claimed in claim 22, wherein the memory sub-system includes an image data storage memory constructed from paged memory.
- 24. (Currently Amended) A system as claimed in claim 23, wherein said memory sub-system includes a processor cache memory in addition to an image data storage memory.

Response to Office Action of September 30, 2010

25. (Currently Amended) A system as claimed in claim 22, wherein the means for

selecting the arrangement of image data in said memory sub_system is arranged for selecting

between a linear format, whereby image data is stored in the memory sub-system on a line-by-

line basis, and at least one kind of tiled format, whereby two-dimensional groups of pixels are

grouped in the memory sub-system.

26. (Currently Amended) A system as claimed in claim 24 25, wherein where the

memory sub-system includes cache memory, said tiled format is defined such that data for one

tile corresponds to a whole number of cache blocks.

Claim 27: Cancelled.

28. (Currently Amended) A system as claimed in claim 27 <u>22</u>, wherein the means for

dynamically selecting is arranged to look ahead in the motion picture sequence so as to measure

said characteristics of the image data for a given portion of the sequence and select the memory

arrangement prior to processing that portion.

29. (Currently Amended) A system as claimed in claim 27 22, wherein the means for

dynamically selecting is arranged such that measured characteristics of the image data at one part

of the sequence are used effectively to predict characteristics of a subsequent portion of the

sequence, and the memory arrangement controlled according to measured characteristics of

recently processed portions of the sequence.

30. (Currently Amended) A system as claimed in claim 27 22, wherein the measuring

means includes means for averaging measured image data characteristics over period of time.

Page 8 of 19

Response to Office Action of September 30, 2010

31. (Currently Amended) A system as claimed in claim 27 22, wherein where the measuring means is arranged to measure variability of motion vectors, the measuring means is arranged to do so separately between vertical and horizontal planes, each having a different

effect in the selection of the storage arrangement.

Claims 32-33: Cancelled.

34. (Currently Amended) A system as claimed in claim 32 22, wherein the means for

measuring system performance is arranged to do so at least partly on a test basis using a sample

of data, prior to processing the data.

35. (Currently Amended) A system as claimed in claim 32 22, wherein the selecting

means and means for measuring system performance are arranged such that system performance

measured while processing a first part of the sequence is used to influence the arrangement of the

memory <u>sub-system</u> for a subsequent part of the sequence.

36. (Currently Amended) A system as claimed in claim 32 22, wherein the selecting

means uses knowledge of a set of subsequent processing steps to influence the selection of the

arrangement of data in the memory sub-system.

37. (Currently Amended) A system as claimed in claim 22, wherein the <u>selecting</u>

means comprises dedicated hardware and/or a processor image processing system is

implemented at least in part by program code and a programmable processing unit.

38. (Previously Presented) A system as claimed in claim 22, wherein the selecting

means is implemented at least partly by means for changing parameters used in accessing said

memory sub-system.

Page 9 of 19

Response to Office Action of September 30, 2010

39. (Currently Amended) A system as claimed in claim 22, wherein the selecting means comprises dedicated hardware or a processor is implemented at least partly by selecting different versions of code to be executed.

40. (Currently Amended) An image data processing system as claimed in claim 22, wherein including a memory sub-system for storing image data representing a motion picture sequence being processed, the memory sub-system including includes a processor cache memory in addition to main image data storage memory, and wherein the selecting is performed the system being arranged for using cache-handling functions selectively under program control, according to at least one of: measured characteristics of said image data and measured characteristics of the performance of said processing system.

41. (Previously Presented) A system as claimed in claim 40, wherein the system is arranged such that a block allocation function, whereby a new cache-block is allocated and overwritten without pre-loading the new cache-block from the main memory, is selectively used according to said measured characteristics.

42. (original) A system as claimed in claim 40, wherein the system is arranged such that cache pre-fetching is activated selectively in accordance with the measured characteristics.

Claim 43: Cancelled.

44. (Currently Amended) A computer program product comprising a computer readable instruction medium with instructions for causing a data processing system to implement a method of arranging image data representing a motion picture sequence within a memory sub-system in an image data processing system, the method comprising:

Page 10 of 19

Response to Office Action of September 30, 2010

dynamically selecting the arrangement of image data for successive pictures of said sequence in said memory <u>sub-system</u> according to at least one of: measured characteristics of said image data, measured characteristics of the performance of said processing system, and known characteristics of subsequent processing of said image data within said image processing system;

wherein the measured characteristics of said image data includes at least one of:

variability of motion vectors encoded within received data,

picture type, and

image resolution;

wherein the measured characteristics of the performance of said processing system includes at least one of:

data cache stall rates for a cache memory in the memory sub-system,

processor utilization,

quality of service or other qualitative measurements that are perceptible to an end

user of content being processed, and

bandwidth of a link feeding data into or out of said image processing system; wherein the known characteristics of subsequent processing of said image data includes at least one of:

encoded data size per picture of the sequence, and
advance information relating to the content of the image stream contained in a
data file.

- 45. (New) The method of claim 1, wherein the dynamic selection is performed according to the variability of motion vectors encoded within received data.
- 46. (New) The method of claim 1, wherein the dynamic selection is performed according to picture type.

Page 11 of 19

Response to Office Action of September 30, 2010

47. (New) The method of claim 1, wherein the dynamic selection is performed

according to image resolution.

48. (New) The method of claim 1, wherein the dynamic selection is performed

according to data cache stall rates for a cache memory in the memory sub-system.

49. (New) The method of claim 1, wherein the dynamic selection is performed

according to processor utilization.

50. (New) The method of claim 1, wherein the dynamic selection is performed

according to quality of service or other qualitative measurements that are perceptible to an end

user of content being processed.

51. (New) The method of claim 1, wherein the dynamic selection is performed

according to bandwidth of a link feeding data into or out of said image processing system.

52. (New) The method of claim 1, wherein the dynamic selection is performed

according to encoded data size per picture of the sequence.

53. (New) The method of claim 1, wherein the dynamic selection is performed

according to advance information relating to the content of the image stream contained in a data

file.

54. (New) The system of claim 22, wherein the dynamic selection is performed

according to the variability of motion vectors encoded within received data.

Page 12 of 19

Response to Office Action of September 30, 2010

55. (New) The system of claim 22, wherein the dynamic selection is performed according to picture type.

56. (New) The system of claim 22, wherein the dynamic selection is performed according to image resolution.

57. (New) The system of claim 22, wherein the dynamic selection is performed according to data cache stall rates for a cache memory in the memory sub-system.

58. (New) The system of claim 22, wherein the dynamic selection is performed according to processor utilization.

59. (New) The system of claim 22, wherein the dynamic selection is performed according to quality of service or other qualitative measurements that are perceptible to an end user of content being processed.

60. (New) The system of claim 22, wherein the dynamic selection is performed according to bandwidth of a link feeding data into or out of said image processing system.

61. (New) The system of claim 22, wherein the dynamic selection is performed according to encoded data size per picture of the sequence.

62. (New) The system of claim 22, wherein the dynamic selection is performed according to advance information relating to the content of the image stream contained in a data file.

Page 13 of 19